



MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

1000 Norms Project: understanding foot and ankle health, disease and normality

Jennifer N Baldwin^{1*}, Marnee M McKay¹, Claire E Hiller¹, Jean E Nightingale¹, Niamh Moloney¹, Natalie Vanicek¹, Paulo Ferreira¹, Milena Simic¹, Kathryn Refshauge¹, Joshua Burns^{1,2}, the 1000 Norms Project Consortium¹

From 4th Congress of the International Foot and Ankle Biomechanics (i-FAB) Community
Busan, Korea. 8-11 April 2014

A primary goal of healthcare is to understand the boundaries of health and normality and identify when abnormalities are harmful. Diagnosis of disease or impairment is often made by comparing results from clinical measures with healthy reference values. At present there is a great need for comprehensive lower limb reference data representing the healthy population. The 1000 Norms Project is currently recruiting to provide reference values for a set of widely-used clinical and biomechanical measures of the foot and ankle. A volunteer sample of 1000 healthy individuals between the ages of 3 and 100 years is participating in the Project. Measures of plantar pressure, gait, ankle range of motion, foot and ankle muscle strength, foot posture and ankle instability

are included in the comprehensive battery of items (Table 1).

The 1000 Norms Project reliability study was completed in November 2013. Inter-rater reliability was found to be excellent ($ICC > .75$) for all foot and ankle measures (Table 2). Recruitment and data collection will take place over the next two years. The release of the final database to the international community via a secure, free online network is anticipated to occur in March 2016. The 1000 Norms Project will provide a substantial contribution to our understanding of the range of normal foot and ankle function in healthy individuals. The reference dataset will be a useful tool for disease diagnosis and management, health surveillance

Table 1 Foot and ankle items assessed in the 1000 Norms Project

Item	Item Description	Measurement variables
Plantar pressure	Collection of plantar pressure during gait using two-step protocol and Emed pressure platform (Novel)	Peak pressure, mean pressure and pressure-time integral at different regions of the foot
Ankle range of motion	Active ankle plantarflexion measured using goniometry Passive ankle dorsiflexion measured using weight-bearing lunge test	Plantarflexion angle in degrees Dorsiflexion angle in degrees
Ankle strength	Plantarflexion strength assessed using fixed dynamometry Dorsiflexion strength assessed using handheld dynamometry	Results from three trials presented as raw data in Newtons and also normalised to body weight
Toe flexor strength	Paper Grip Test assessing strength of hallux and four lesser toes	Pass/fail score recorded for ability to grip paper under toes
Gait	Spatio-temporal aspects of gait measured using Zeno walkway (Protokinetics)	Step time, step length and width, gait velocity and foot progression angle
Foot posture	Foot Posture Index consisting of six assessments relating to foot posture	Foot posture graded on a 15-point scale from -12 (varus) to +12 (valgus)
Ankle instability	Cumberland Ankle Instability Tool (Adult and Youth versions) consisting of 9 items pertaining to self-perception of ankle stability	Overall score out of 30 where higher scores indicate greater instability

* Correspondence: jbal2575@uni.sydney.edu.au

¹Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Sydney, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Table 2 Inter-rater reliability of foot and ankle items assessed in the 1000 Norms Project

Item	ICC (95% CI)	SEM	SEM % mean
Ankle plantarflexion ROM	.885 (.538-.971)	1.36	2.2
Ankle dorsiflexion lunge test	.875 (.498-.969)	1.73	4.8
Dorsiflexion strength	.958 (.831-.990)	4.36	2.9
Plantarflexion strength	.973 (.892-.993)	3.57	1.9
Foot Posture Index Left Total Score	.978 (.916-.994)	0.09	2.0
Foot Posture Index Right Total Score	.958 (.820-.990)	0.14	3.3

Note: ROM, range of motion; ICC, Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (95% Confidence Interval); SEM, Standard Error of Measurement; SEM % mean, Standard Error of Measurement expressed as a percentage of the mean

and future outcome measure development for clinical trials of rehabilitative, surgical and pharmacological interventions.

Authors' details

¹Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Sydney, Australia. ²Institute for Neurosciences and Muscle Research, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Australia.

Published: 8 April 2014

doi:10.1186/1757-1146-7-S1-A6

Cite this article as: Baldwin *et al.*: 1000 Norms Project: understanding foot and ankle health, disease and normality. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research* 2014 **7**(Suppl 1):A6.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

